SRF RPG/R01/R03/R21/R33/R34 Review

Application #:

Principal Investigator(s):

# Overall Impact

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following scored review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the proposed project). An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.

|  |
| --- |
| Overall Impact *Write a clear, concise paragraph that explains the basis for your score. Identify and weigh the most important strengths and weaknesses of the application.* |
|       |

# Review Criteria

Reviewers will consider Factors 1, 2 and 3 in the determination of scientific merit, and in providing an overall impact score. In addition, Factors 1 and 2 will each receive a separate criterion score.

|  |
| --- |
| Factor 1. Importance of the Research (Significance and Innovation) |
| **Major Score-Drivers** **Strengths** *

**Weaknesses***
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Factor 2. Rigor and Feasibility (Approach) |
| **Major Score-Drivers****Strengths** *

**Weaknesses***

Inclusion Plans **Applicable Only for Human Subjects research and not Human Subjects Exemption #4**As part of their evaluation, reviewers should consider the population characteristics of the disease, condition, behavior, and/or the scientific goals of the work proposed:* Sex:
* Race and Ethnicity:
* For NIH-Defined Phase III trials, plans for valid design and analysis (applicable to sex and race and ethnicity):
* Based on Age:

If not previously addressed in Factor 2 Major Score-Drivers, briefly address specific concerns regarding inclusion or plans for valid design and analyses:*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Factor 3. Expertise and Resources (Investigator(s) and Environment) |
| Address specific gaps in expertise or resources needed to carry out the project:*
 |

# Additional Review Criteria

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will evaluate the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, and in providing an overall impact score, but will not give separate scores for these items.

|  |
| --- |
| Protections for Human Subjects |
| Briefly address specific concerns regarding human subject protections:*

Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (Applicable for Clinical Trials Only):* + Briefly address specific concerns regarding the Data and Safety Monitoring Plan:

      |

|  |
| --- |
| Vertebrate Animals |
| Briefly address specific concerns regarding vertebrate animal protections:*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Biohazards  |
| Briefly address specific concerns regarding biohazards:*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Resubmissions  |
| Comments (if applicable):*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Renewals |
| Comments (if applicable):*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Revisions |
| Comments (if applicable):*
 |

# Additional Review Considerations

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

|  |
| --- |
| Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources  |
| Briefly address specific concerns regarding the identification or validity of key biological and/or chemical resources:*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Budget and Period of Support  |
| Briefly address specific concerns regarding the proposed budget:*
 |